GENERAL NPDES PERMIT FOR RESIDUAL AQUATIC PESTICIDE DISCHARGES FROM ALGAE APPLICATIONS

ORDER 2013-0002-DWQ (AS AMENDED BY ORDERS 2014-0078-DWQ AND AQUATIC WEED CONTROL 2015-0029-DWQ and 2016-0073-EXEC NPDES NO. CAG990005

Attachment E – Notice of Intent

WATER QUALITY ORDER NO. 2013-0002-DWQ **GENERAL PERMIT NO. CAG990005**

STATEWIDE GENERAL NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES) PERMIT FOR RESIDUAL AQUATIC PESTICIDE DISCHARGES TO WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES FROM ALGAE AND AQUATIC WEED **CONTROL APPLICATIONS**

| 3311113271113113 |
|--|
| I. NOTICE OF INTENT STATUS (see Instructions) |
| Mark only one item |
| A. New Applicator |
| B. Change of Information: WDID# |
| C. Change of ownership or responsibility: WDID# |
| II. DISCHARGER INFORMATION |
| A. Name |
| B. Mailing Address |
| C. City |
| D. County |
| E. State |
| F. Zip Code |
| G. Contact Person_ |
| H. Email address |
| I. Title |
| J. Phone |
| III. BILLING ADDRESS (Enter Information only if different from Section II above) |
| A. Name |
| B. Mailing Address |
| C. City |
| D. County |
| E. State |
| F. Zip Code |
| G. Email address |

GENERAL NPDES PERMIT FOR RESIDUAL AQUATIC PESTICIDE DISCHARGES FROM ALGAE AND AQUATIC WEED CONTROL APPLICATIONS ORDER 2013-0002-DWQ
(AS AMENDED BY ORDERS
2014-0078-DWQ
2015-0029-DWQ and 2016-0073-EXEC
NPDES NO. CAG990005

| | Н. | Tit | Title | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | l. | Ph | Phone | | | | | | | |
| IV. | V. RECEIVING WATER INFORMATION | | | | | | | | | |
| | A. Algaecide and aquatic herbicides are used to treat (check all that apply): | | | | | | | | | |
| | Canals, ditches, or other constructed conveyance facilities owned and controlled by Discharger. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Name of the conveyance system: | | | | | | | |
| | | 2. | Canals, ditches, or other constructed conveyance facilities owned and controlled by an entity other than the Discharger. | | | | | | | |
| | | | Owner's name: | | | | | | | |
| | | | Name of the conveyance system: | | | | | | | |
| | | 3. | Directly to river, lake, creek, stream, bay, ocean, etc. | | | | | | | |
| | | | Name of water body: | | | | | | | |
| B. Regional Water Quality Control Board(s) where application areas are lo | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (REGION 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, or 9): Region | | | | | | | | | |
| | | (Li | st all regions where algaecide and aquatic herbicide application is proposed.) | | | | | | | |
| ٧. | AL | GΑ | ECIDE AND AQUATIC HERBICIDE APPLICATION INFORMATION | | | | | | | |
| | A. | Та | Target Organisms: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | В. | Alg | gaecide and Aquatic Herbicide Used: List Name and Active Ingredients | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | C. | Pe | eriod of Application: | | | | | | | |
| | | St | art Date End Date | | | | | | | |
| | D. | Ту | pes of Adjuvants Used: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| VI. | AC | QU/ | ATIC PESTICIDE APPLICATION PLAN | | | | | | | |

A. Has an Aquatic Pesticide Application Plan been prepared and is the applicator

ATTACHMENT E – NOTICE OF INTENT

familiar with its contents?

GENERAL NPDES PERMIT FOR RESIDUAL AQUATIC PESTICIDE DISCHARGES FROM ALGAE AND AQUATIC WEED CONTROL APPLICATIONS

ORDER 2013-0002-DWQ
(AS AMENDED BY ORDERS
2014-0078-DWQ
2015-0029-DWQ and 2016-0073-EXEC
NPDES NO CAGO20005

| AND AQUATIC WEED CON | | 0045 0000 | 2014-0078-DWG |
|---|---|---|---|
| APPLICATIONS | IROL | 2015-0029 | -DWQ and 2016-0073-EXEC NPDES NO. CAG990005 |
| ✓ Yes | □No | | 111 DEC 110. CAG990003 |
| If not, when will it be p | repared? | , | |
| VII. NOTIFICATION | | | |
| Have potentially affect | ed public and go | overnmental aç | gencies been notified? |
| Yes | ✓ No | | |
| VIII. FEE | | | |
| Have you included payme submittal? | ent of the filing fe | e (for first-time | enrollees only) with this |
| ✓ Yes | No | □NA | |
| IX. CERTIFICATION | | | |
| under my direction and su that qualified personnel pr Based on my inquiry of the persons directly responsib is, to the best of my knowl that there are significant p possibility of fine or impris Order, including developin complied with." | pervision in acc operly gather ar e person or persole for gathering ledge and belief enalties for subronment. Addition og and implemer | ordance with a nd evaluate the sons who mana the informatior f, true, accurate mitting false inf nally, I certify the | age the system, or those on, the information submitted e, and complete. I am aware formation, including the hat the provisions of the |
| A. Printed Name: Brace | | | 11 2 21 |
| B. Signature: | | | _Date: \(\lambda - \(2 \cdot \) \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ |
| C. Title: Property Man | | | |
| XI. FOR STATE WATER BO | | | |
| _ | Received: | | NOI Processed: |
| | Fee Amoun | | Check#: |
| Lyris List Notification of Po | sting of APAP | Date: | Confirmation Sent |

Vallejo Mobile Estates

Aquatic Pesticide Application Plan (APAP)

For the

Statewide General National Pollutant Discharge Elimination

System (NPDES) Permit for Residual Aquatic Pesticide Discharges
to Waters of the United States from Algae and Aquatic Weed

Control Applications

Water Quality Order No. 2013-0002-DWQ

General Permit # CAG990005

Prepared for:

Vallejo Mobile Estates
Care of Biggs Property Management
4341 Abernathy Road
Fairfield, CA 94534
Contact: Brad Ford
(707) 718-5278

Prepared by:

Blankinship, a BOWMAN company 1615 5th Street, Suite A Davis, CA 95616 Contact: Stephen Burkholder (530) 757-0941

Submitted to:

State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Quality
1001 I Street, 15th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814
Contact: Gurgagn Chand
(916) 341-5780

Certification

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direct supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment".

Signed and Agreed:

Brad Ford

Property Manager Vallejo Mobile Estates

Stephen Burkholder

Principal Biologist

Pest Control Adviser # 153644 Blankinship, a BOWMAN company

Michael S. Blankinship

Licensed Professional Engineer (Civil) #C64112

Pest Control Adviser # 75890

Blankinship, a BOWMAN company

Limitations

The services used to prepare this document were performed consistent with our agreement with Vallejo Mobile Estates and were rendered in a manner consistent with generally accepted professional consulting principles and practices using the level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by other professional consultants under similar circumstances at the same time the services were performed. No warranty, express or implied, is included. This document is solely for the use of our client unless otherwise noted. Any use or reliance on this document by a third party is at such party's sole risk and such party agrees to indemnify and defend Blankinship & Associates.

Vallejo Mobile Estates

Aquatic Pesticide Application Plan

Statewide General National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit for Residual Aquatic Pesticide Discharges to Waters of the United States from Algae and Aquatic Weed Control Applications

Water Quality Order No. 2013-0002-DWQ

General Permit # CAG990005

Table of Contents

| Aquatic Pesticide Application Plan | 1 |
|---|----|
| Element 1: Description of the Water System | 6 |
| Element 2: Description of the Treatment Area | 6 |
| Element 3: Description of Weeds | 6 |
| Element 4: Aquatic Herbicides Used, Known Degradation Byproducts, Application Methods and | |
| Adjuvants | 6 |
| Element 5: Discussion of Factors Influencing Herbicide Use | 7 |
| Element 6: Gates and Control Structures | 8 |
| Element 7: State Implementation Policy (SIP) Section 5.3 Exception | |
| Element 8: Description of Monitoring Program | 9 |
| 8.1 Data Collection | |
| 8.2 Monitoring Locations and Frequency | 9 |
| 8.3 Sample Collection | 17 |
| 8.4 Field Measurements | |
| 8.5 Sample Preservation and Transportation | 17 |
| 8.6 Sample Analysis | 17 |
| 8.7 Reporting Procedures | 18 |
| 8.8 Sampling Methods and Guidelines | 20 |
| 8.9 Field Sampling Operations | |
| 8.10 Quality Assurance and Quality Control | 24 |
| Element 9: Procedures to Prevent Sample Contamination | 27 |
| Element 10: Description of BMPs | |
| 10.1 Measures to Prevent Spills and Spill Containment in the Event of a Spill | |
| 10.2 Measures to Ensure Appropriate Use Rate | 28 |
| 10.3 The Discharger's Plan in Educating its Staff and Herbicide Applicators on How to Avoid Any | |
| Potential Adverse Effects from the Herbicide Applications | |
| 10.4 Application Coordination to Minimize Impact of Application on Water Users | |
| 10.5 Description of Measures to Prevent Fish Kills | |
| Element 11: Examination of Possible Alternatives | |
| 11.1 Evaluation of Other Management Options | |
| 11.2 Using the Least Intrusive Method of Aquatic Herbicide Application | |
| 11.3 Applying a Decision Matrix Concept to the Choice of the Most Appropriate Formulation | 32 |
| References | 33 |

List of Tables

Table 1 Aquatic Herbicides That May Be Used

Table 2 Required Sample Analysis

List of Figures

Figure 1 Vallejo Mobile Estates Project Location Map Figure 2 Vallejo Mobile Estates Project Detail Map

Figure 3 Aquatic Herbicide Application Log

Figure 4 Aquatic Herbicide Field Monitoring & Sampling Form

List of Abbreviations

AHAL Aquatic Herbicide Application Log
APAP Aquatic Pesticide Application Plan

BG Background Monitoring
BMPs Best Management Practices

°C Degrees Celsius

Cal-EPA California Environmental Protection Agency

CEQA California Environmental Quality Act

COC Chain of Custody
CTR California Toxics Rule
DO Dissolved Oxygen

DPR California Department of Pesticide Regulation

Event Event Monitoring

FB Field Blank
FD Field Duplicate
ft/sec Feet per Second

HDPE High Density Polyethylene
IPM Integrated Pest Management

MB Method Blank

MRP Monitoring and Reporting Program

MS Matrix Spike

MSD Matrix Spike Duplicates

NPDES National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

NOI Notice of Intent

OSHA California Occupational Safety and Health Administration

PCA Pest Control Adviser

Permit The Statewide General NPDES Permit for Residual Aquatic Pesticide Discharges

to Waters of the United States from Algae and Aquatic Weed Control

Applications

Policy State Water Board Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland

Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California

Post Post-event Monitoring

PPE Personal Protective Equipment
QAC Qualified Applicator Certificate
QAL Qualified Applicator License

QA/QC Quality Assurance and Quality Control

%R Percent Recovery

RPD Relative Percent Difference RWL Receiving Water Limitation

RWMT Receiving Water Monitoring Trigger
RWQCB Regional Water Quality Control Board

SIP State Implementation Policy

SWRCB State Water Resources Control Board

USEPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

VME Vallejo Mobile Estates
VOA Volatile Organics Analysis
WDID Waste Discharge Identification

Aquatic Pesticide Application Plan

In March 2001, the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) prepared Water Quality Order # 2001-12-DWQ which created Statewide General National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit # CAG990003 for the discharges of aquatic herbicides to waters of the United States. The purpose of Order # 2001-12-DWQ was to minimize the areal extent and duration of adverse impacts to beneficial uses of water bodies treated with aquatic herbicides. The purpose of the general permit was to substantially reduce the potential discharger liability incurred for releasing water treated with aquatic herbicides into waters of the United States. The general permit expired January 31, 2004.

On May 20, 2004, the SWRCB adopted the statewide general NPDES Permit for Discharge of Aquatic Pesticides for Aquatic Weed Control in Waters of the United States #CAG 990005. Dischargers were required to have the general permit to perform aquatic herbicide applications. In May 2009, the general permit expired, but was administratively continued until November 30, 2013.

The Statewide General NPDES Permit for Residual Aquatic Pesticide Discharges to Waters of the United States from Algae and Aquatic Weed Control Applications (herein referred to as the "Permit") was adopted on March 5, 2013, and became available on December 1, 2013 (SWRCB 2013). The Permit expired November 30, 2018, and it has been admiratively continued until a new permit is adopted. As such, the Permit is still active and enforceable. The Permit requires compliance with the following:

- The Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries in California, also known as the State Implementation Policy, or SIP (SWRCB 2005)
- The California Toxics Rule (CTR)
- Applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) Basin Plan Water Quality Objectives (RWQCB 2019)
- Permit-defined Receiving Water Limitations (RWLs) or Receiving Water Monitoring Triggers (RWMTs)

Coverage under the Permit is available to single dischargers and potentially to regional dischargers for releases of potential and/or actual pollutants to waters of the United States. Dischargers eligible for coverage under the Permit are public entities that conduct resource or pest management control measures, including local, state, and federal agencies responsible for control of algae, aquatic weeds, and other organisms that adversely impact operation and use of drinking water reservoirs, water conveyance facilities, irrigation canals, flood control channels, detention basins and/or natural water bodies.

The Permit does not cover indirect or non-point source discharges, whether from agricultural or other applications of pesticides to land, that may be conveyed in storm water or irrigation runoff. The Permit only covers algaecides and aquatic herbicides that are applied according to label directions and that are registered for use on aquatic sites by the California Department of Pesticide Regulation (DPR).

Vallejo Mobile Estates (referred to herein as "VME") is a property located in the City of Vallejo, in Solano County, California. VME is bordered by the Lake Dalwigk drainage channel that flows from just south of I-780, downstream along the east and south edge of the VME property, continuing through an S-curve past Lemon Street before entering Lake Dalwigk. Refer to **Figure 1** and **Figure 2**.

The drainage channel has an approximately 1,100-acre watershed and conveys stormwater drainage from the City's underground stormwater system by way of nine (9) outfalls. It conveys nuisance and landscape runoff from residential properties in the summer and fall. During high flow stormwater

events, the channel is prone to overtopping its banks and causing localized flooding in the VME property. Neighboring properties have also been affected by localized flooding. Among the reasons for overtopping is the presence of vegetation and accumulated sediment in the channel.

Improvements to the channel made over the years include installation of a curb along San Marcus Drive to reduce the incidence of flooding. Construction of another section of elevated curb is being considered for the section from the section downstream of Fahey Court along San Fernando Way. Limited sediment removal and vegetation control using herbicides has been done sporadically.

In 2014, VME entered into a maintenance agreement with Vallejo Flood (previously Vallejo Sanitation and Flood Control District) to provide upkeep and maintenance of the channel from the western property boundary downstream to the S-curve. Caltrans is responsible for upkeep from I-780 to VME's western property boundary. Vallejo Flood is responsible for upkeep and maintenance from the end of the S-curve to Lake Dalwigk.

Efficient drainage and stormwater conveyance is critical to the functions of the channel. However, VME's conveyances are prone to infestation by a number of aquatic vegetation species including but not limited to cattails (*Typha* spp.), California bulrush (*Schoenoplectus* californicus), and giant reed (*Arundo donax*). Additionally, oleander (*Nerium oleander*) and other various native and non-native vegetation and trees are found along the top of the banks, particularly on the west side of the channel, which can prevent or severely limit access for ongoing maintenance activities if allowed to grow unchecked.

The presence of aquatic weeds in the VME conveyance can adversely impact stormwater flow and reduce channel capacity, potentially causing localized flooding within the VME and/or adjacent property. As such, VME intends to obtain coverage to apply aquatic herbicides to the Lake Dalwigk drainage channel to control nuisance aquatic vegetation.

Using Integrated Pest Management (IPM) techniques, VME intends to apply aquatic herbicides identified in the Notice of Intent to Comply (NOI) submitted to the SWRCB. For the purposes of applying to, and complying with the Permit, VME has created this Aquatic Pesticide Application Plan (APAP).

This APAP is a comprehensive plan developed by Blankinship, a BOWMAN company in conjunction with VME that describes the project, the need for the project, what will be done to reduce water quality impacts, and how those impacts will be monitored. Specifically, this APAP contains the following eleven (11) elements.

- 1. Description of the water system to which aquatic herbicides are being applied;
- 2. Description of the treatment area in the water system;
- 3. Description of types of weed(s) that are being controlled and why;
- Aquatic herbicide products or types of aquatic herbicides expected to be used and if known their degradation byproducts, the method in which they are applied, and if applicable, the adjuvants and surfactants used;
- 5. Discussion of the factors influencing the decision to select aquatic herbicide applications for weed control;

- 6. If applicable, list the gates or control structures to be used to control the extent of receiving waters potentially affected by aquatic herbicide application and provide an inspection schedule of those gates or control structures to ensure they are not leaking;
- 7. If the Discharger has been granted a short-term or seasonal exception under State Water Board Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California (Policy) Section 5.3 from meeting acrolein and copper receiving water limitations, provide the beginning and ending dates of the exception period, and justification for the needed time for the exception. If aquatic herbicide applications occur outside of the exception period, describe plans to ensure that receiving water criteria are not exceeded because the Dischargers must comply with the acrolein and copper receiving water limitations for all applications that occur outside of the exception period;
- 8. Description of monitoring program;
- 9. Description of procedures used to prevent sample contamination from persons, equipment, and vehicles associated with and aquatic herbicide application;
- 10. Description of the Best Management Practices (BMPs) to be implemented. The BMPs shall include, at the minimum:
 - 10.1. Measures to prevent and aquatic herbicide spill and for spill containment during the event of a spill;
 - 10.2. Measures to ensure that only an appropriate rate of application consistent with product label requirements is applied for the targeted weeds;
 - 10.3. The Discharger's plan in educating its staff and aquatic herbicide applicators on how to avoid any potential adverse effects from the aquatic herbicide applications;
 - 10.4. Discussion on planning and coordination with nearby farmers and agencies with water rights diversion so that beneficial uses of the water (irrigation, drinking water supply, domestic stock water, etc.) are not impacted during the treatment period; and
 - 10.5. A description of measures that will be used for preventing fish kill when aquatic herbicides will be used for aquatic weed controls.
- 11. Examination of Possible Alternatives. Dischargers should examine the alternatives to aquatic herbicide use to reduce the need for applying herbicides. Such methods include:
 - 11.1. Evaluating the following management options, in which the impact to water quality, impact to non-target organisms including plants, aquatic herbicide resistance, feasibility, and cost effectiveness should be considered:
 - 11.2. Using the least intrusive method of aquatic herbicide application; and
 - 11.3. Applying a decision matrix concept to the choice of the most appropriate formulation.

This APAP is organized to address the aforementioned 1 through 11 elements.





1615 5TH St, Suite A Davis, CA 95616 Ph: (530) 757 - 0941 Vallejo Mobile Estates Project Location Map Project Vallejo Mobile Estates

Date

3/29/24

Figure

1





1615 5TH St, Suite A Davis, CA 95616 Ph: (530) 757 - 0941

Vallejo Mobile Estates Project Detail Map

Project Vallejo Mobile Estates

Date

3/29/24

Figure

2

Element 1: Description of the Water System

Lake Dalwigk drainage channel flows from just south of I-780, downstream along the east and south edge of the VME property, continuing through an S-curve past Lemon Street before entering Lake Dalwigk. The channel conveys stormwater drainage and nuisance and landscape runoff from the City's underwater stormwater system, which enters the channel through an outfall on the northeast side of VME property. Additional storm system outfalls occur along the channel through the VME property.

VME is responsible for maintenance and upkeep of the portion of the Lake Dalwigk drainage channel from just south of I-780 downstream to the end of the S-Curve, as shown red on **Figure 2**.

Element 2: Description of the Treatment Area

VME may apply aquatic herbicides to the Lake Dalwigk channel within its area of maintenance responsibility described in Element 1 if aquatic weed treatment thresholds are met.

Element 3: Description of Weeds

Weeds found in and adjacent to the Lake Dalwigk channel include emergent and floating aquatic plants, and terrestrial or transitional along the banks and landscaped areas. The channel is prone to infestation by emergent aquatic vegetation including, but not limited to cattails (*Typha* spp.), California bulrush (*Schoenoplectus* californicus), and giant reed (*Arundo donax*).

Efficient conveyance of drainage and stormwater is critical to the flood management functions of the channel. The presence of these weeds and others in the channel can adversely impact water flow and reduce channel capacity. This reduced flow and capacity can and has caused flooding of VME and adjacent properties during high flow events. Dense stands of aquatic vegetation like cattails or bulrush can constrain capacity, plug culverts or slow flows and cause bank overtopping. The presence of too much vegetation in the channel creates a flood hazard.

Element 4: Aquatic Herbicides Used, Known Degradation Byproducts, Application Methods and Adjuvants

Table 1 summarizes the aquatic herbicides that may be used by VME.

Table 1: Aquatic Herbicides That May Be Used

| Herbicide | Application Method(s) Adjuvant | | Degradation Byproducts | |
|------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Diquat Dibromide | Submersed boom, handgun, or boom sprayer | Various "Aquatic" labeled adjuvants | No major degradates ¹ | |
| Flumioxazin | Submersed boom/injection, handgun, or boom sprayer. | Various "Aquatic"- labeled adjuvants | TPHA, A-TPA, 482-HA, 482- PHO, PHO-HA, APF, and SAT- 482-HA-2 ² | |
| Glyphosate | Backpack sprayer, handgun, or boom sprayer | Various "Aquatic" labeled adjuvants | Aminomethyl phosphonic acid (AMPA), carbon dioxide ³ | |

| Imazamox | Backpack sprayer, handgun, or boom sprayer | Various "Aquatic" labeled adjuvants | Nicotinic acid and imazamox parent chemicals ⁴ | | |
|------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| Imazapyr | Backpack sprayer, handgun, or boom sprayer | Various "Aquatic" labeled adjuvants | Pyridine hydroxy-dicarboxylic acid, pyridine dicarboxylic acid, and nicotinic acid ⁵ | | |
| Penoxsulam | Backpack sprayer, handgun, or boom sprayer | Not Applicable | 11 major and 2 minor degradates ⁶ | | |
| Triclopyr | Backpack sprayer, handgun, or boom sprayer | Various "Aquatic" labeled adjuvants | 3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol (TCP) ⁷ | | |

- ¹ United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) 1995
- ² Major flumioxazin degradants include: 3,4,5,6-tetrahydrophthalic acid (THPA); 3,4,5,6-Tetrahydrophthalic acid anhydride (A-TPA); 7-Fluoro-6[(2-carboxy-cyclohexenoyl)amino]-4-(2-propynyl)-1,4-benzoxazin-3(2H)-one (482-HA); N-(2-propynyl)-4-[4-carboxy-3-fluoro-2-(3,4,5,6-tetrahydrophthalimido)-2-butenylidene]azetidine-2-one (482-PHO); N-(2-propynyl)-4-[4-carboxy-3-fluoro-2-(2-carboxy-1-cyclohexencarbonylamino)-2-butenylidene]azetidine-2-one (PHO-HA); 6-Amino-7-fluoro-4-(2-propynyl)-1,4,-benzoxazin-3(2H)-one (APF); and (1S,2S)-2-{[7-fluoro-3-oxo-4-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazin-6-yl]carbamoyl} cyclohexanecarboxylic acid (SAT-482-HA-2) (EFSA et al. 2020).
- ³ USEPA 1993-A
- ⁴ The major degradate in the environment is CL 354,825 (Nicotinic acid, 5-hydrody-6-(4-isopropyl-4-methyl-5-oxo-2-imidazolin-2-yl). Other metabolites include AC 312,622 (demethylated parent with intact ring structures and two carboxylic acid groups) and AC 354,825 (demethylated, decarboxylated parent with intact rings and one carboxylic acid group) (USEPA 2008).
- ⁵ USEPA 2006
- Major degradates include: BSA, 2-amino-TP, TPSA, BSTCA, BSTCA methyl, 2-amino-TCA, 5-OH-penoxsulam, SFA, sulfonamide, 5,8-di-OH and 5-OH 2 amino TP. Minor degradates include: di-FESA and BST. (USEPA 2007).
- ⁷ USEPA 1998

All herbicide applications are made in accordance with the product label. Applications may be made using the methods described in **Table 1** from backpack sprayers, truck- or trailer-mounted sprayers. For example, an application of glyphosate to cattails in the Lake Dalwigk drainage channel will be made with a handgun sprayer calibrated to deliver the correct amount of herbicide per acre treated to achieve the desired concentration on the foliage of the target plant.

When applicable, aquatic-labeled adjuvants may be used to enhance the efficacy of an herbicide.

Element 5: Discussion of Factors Influencing Herbicide Use

Treatment of aquatic vegetation by VME is determined by the application of IPM and guided by the July 2022 Lake Dalwigk Drainage Channel Vegetation Management Plan. One of the primary operational goals of the IPM program is to establish a reasonable set of control measures that not only aid in managing aquatic vegetation populations, but also address public health & safety, legal, and aesthetic needs. An action threshold level is the point at which action should be taken to control aquatic vegetation before the conveyance is significantly impacted; moreover, established action threshold levels may change based on VME's observations of channel function and conveyance.

A central feature of IPM is to determine when control action is absolutely necessary and when it is not. Examples of when or how thresholds are met are when vegetation impedes flow, decreases capacity, or creates a nuisance. Typical problems associated with aquatic vegetation are adverse impacts to water quality or a reduction in the channel's conveyance capacity. If vegetation equals or exceeds a threshold, a control method is implemented. Control methods may include mechanical, cultural controls, biological, and/or chemical, consistent with VME's IPM techniques. Aquatic herbicide use may or may not be employed as a last resort control method and is considered a critical part of the IPM program. For some aquatic weed varieties, herbicides offer the most effective (i.e., long-lasting or least labor intensive) control; sometimes, they may be the only control available.

Aquatic herbicide applications may also be made prior to threshold exceedance. For example, based on predicted growth rate and density, historical aquatic weed trends, vegetation like cattails in the channel are predicted to cause problems in the fall after growing all season. For example, initiating application early in the season to control cattails shortly after they have emerged helps to prevent issues with water flow later in the season. Accordingly, they may be treated soon after emergence or when appropriate based on the aquatic herbicide to be used. Even though aquatic weeds may not be an immediate problem at this phase, treating them before they mature reduces the total amount of aquatic herbicide needed because the younger aquatic weeds are more susceptible and there is less plant mass to target. Furthermore, treating aquatic weeds within the ideal time frame of its growth cycle allows the selected control measures will be most effective.

Managing aquatic weed populations before they produce seeds, tubers or other reproductive organs is an important step in a comprehensive aquatic weed control program. Generally, treating aquatic weeds earlier in the growth cycle results in fewer controls needed and less total herbicide used. Selection of appropriate aquatic herbicide(s) and rate of application is done based on the identification of the aquatic weed, its growth stage, and the appearance of that aquatic weed on the product label.

The selection of and decision to use an aquatic herbicide is based on the recommendation of a DPR-licensed Pest Control Adviser (PCA). The PCA considers a variety of control options that may include mechanical and/or cultural techniques that alone or in combination with aquatic herbicide use is the most efficacious and protective of the environment.

Evaluating alternative control techniques is part of VME's IPM approach; therefore, an alternative treatment may be selected as part of the management program. Alternative control techniques include mechanical removal (i.e., manually, or with an excavator), and/or grazing. A more detailed description of each of these is presented in **Element 10** and **Element 11** of this document.

In general, alternative control techniques are more expensive, labor intensive, have significant permitting requirements, not as effective, may cause temporary water quality degradation, and/or further spread aquatic weeds. The equipment and labor required to perform these techniques is not always readily available. This may cause delays in removal leading to increased plant material to remove and increased cost.

Element 6: Gates and Control Structures

The Lake Dalwigk drainage channel does not contain any gates or control structures throughout the reach maintained by VME. There are culverts under the street crossings of the channel that can constrain flow.

If control structures are installed, they will be inspected prior to and during any applications to evaluate the presence of leaks.

Element 7: State Implementation Policy (SIP) Section 5.3 Exception

The Permit allows VME to apply for a SIP Section 5.3 Exception for a short-term or seasonal exception to the dissolved copper or acrolein RWL. If an exception is granted, this section will be amended to describe the exception period as outlined in the required California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) documentation.

VME does not currently have a SIP exception, nor does it plan to use acrolein- or copper-containing materials.

Element 8: Description of Monitoring Program

Attachment C of the Permit presents the Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP). The MRP addresses two key questions:

Question No. 1: Does the residual aquatic herbicides discharge cause an exceedance of the receiving water limitations?

Question No. 2: Does the discharge of residual aquatic herbicides, including active ingredients, inert ingredients, and degradation byproducts, in any combination cause or contribute to an exceedance of the "no toxics in toxic amount" narrative toxicity objective?

Attachment C of the Permit provides MRP guidelines that VME will use to meet the aforementioned goals.

8.1 Data Collection

Visual monitoring will be performed for all aquatic herbicide applications at all sites and be recorded by qualified personnel. **Figure 3**, Aquatic Herbicide Application Log (AHAL), is the form used to document conditions during application of algaecides and/or aquatic herbicides and record names and amounts of materials applied.

Figure 4, Aquatic Herbicide Field Monitoring & Sampling Form, will be used to document monitored treatment events.

8.2 Monitoring Locations and Frequency

Water quality sampling for glyphosate will be conducted for one application event from each environmental setting, flowing water and non-flowing water, per year if applications are made. For application of all other aquatic herbicide active ingredients listed on the Permit, VME will collect samples from a minimum of six application events for each active ingredient in each environmental setting per year. If there are less than six application events in a year for an active ingredient, VME will collect samples for each application event in each environmental setting.

If the results from six consecutive sampling events show concentrations that are less than the applicable

RWLs or RWMTs in an environmental setting, VME will reduce the sampling frequency for that active ingredient to one per year in that environmental setting. If the annual sampling shows exceedances of the applicable RWL/RWMT, VME will be required to return to sampling six applications the next year, and until sampling may be reduced again.

Sites will be chosen to represent the variations in treatment that occur, including aquatic herbicide use, hydrology, and environmental setting, conveyance or impoundment type, seasonal, and regional variations. The exact location(s) of sample site(s) will be determined after site scouting and a decision to make an aquatic herbicide application are made per VME's IPM approach. **Figure 4** is the form used to document sampling.

8.2.1 Sample Locations

Sampling will include background, event, and post-event monitoring as follows:

Background Monitoring: In moving water, the background (BG) sample is collected upstream of the treatment area at the time of the application event, or in the treatment area within 24 hours prior to the start of the application.

Event Monitoring: The event monitoring (Event) sample for **flowing** water is collected immediately downstream of treatment area immediately after the application event, but after sufficient time has elapsed such that treated water would have exited the treatment area.

The Event sample for **non-flowing (static)** water is collected immediately outside the treatment area immediately after the application event, but after sufficient time has elapsed such that treated water would have exited the treatment area.

The location and timing for the collection of the Event sample may be based on several factors including, but not limited to aquatic weed density and type, flow rates, discharge from the treatment site, size of the treatment area and duration of treatment.

Post-Event Monitoring: The post-event monitoring (Post) sample is collected within the treatment area within one week after the application, or when the treatment is deemed complete.

One full set of three samples (i.e., BG, Event and Post) will be collected during each treatment from the representative site(s) treated within VME waterbodies according to the monitoring frequency and locations described earlier.

Additionally, one Field Duplicate (FD) and one Field Blank (FB) will be collected and submitted for analysis for each analyte, once per year. The FD and FB samples are typically collected during Event Monitoring if water is leaving the treatment area.

Fig. 3 Aquatic Herbicide Application Log

For Client Use Only

© 2022 Blankinship & Associates, Inc. All Rights Reserved
IMPORTANT To Be Completed <u>EVERY TIME</u> an Aquatic Herbicide Application is Made

| Ap | p. Start: | Time | | Date | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Ар | p. End: | Time | | Date | | |
| Application Location | | | | | | |
| Agency | | | Pe | rsonnel | | |
| | | | | | 70 | λ |
| Air Temperature (F°) | V | Vind Speed (m | nph) | _ Target Weed: | 31 | S |
| Treatment Area S | Size (choose | e one): | | (S) | July Vilo | |
| Acres | _ | Linear Fe | et | 0 5 | 0,0, | |
| | | | · · | 7 60 | | |
| Herbicide #1 Used | | _ Rate/Target | Conc. | Units | Total Amt. Applied | Units |
| Herbicide #2 Used | | _ Rate/Target | Conc. | Units | Total Amt. Applied | Units |
| Adjuvant #1 Used | | _ Rate/Target | Conc. | <u> </u> | Total Amt. Applied | Units |
| Adjuvant #2 Used | | _ Rate/Target | Conc. | Units | Total Amt. Applied | Units |
| Method of Application | \sim | O | Application Ma | de (Circle One) With | water flow / Against water flo | w / Not Applicable |
| | X | .0 | | | | |
| Waterbody Type (Circle One) | lined cana | l / unlined cana | al / creek / drain | / ditch / basin / reserv | oir / lake / pond or list Other: _ | |
| Water Flow (ft/sec, cfs) | | 6, 4 | Water Depth (| ft) | Water Temperature (F° |) |
| Percent Weed Cover | Y | | Water Sheen | (Circle One) yes / no |) | |
| Water Color (Circle One) non | ie / blue / | green / brow | 'n | Water Cla | rity (Circle One) poor / fair / | good |
| | 0 | y | | | | |
| Please enter any other inform | ation regard | ding the applica | ation in the spac | e provided below: | | |
| |) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| I (sign name) | | | | certify t | hat the APAP has been follow | red. |

For Client Use Only © 2022 Blankinship & Associates, Inc. All Rights Reserved

IMPORTANT Attach Relevant Aquatic Herbicide Application Log (AHAL) Form

SAMPLE #1: Background Monitoring (Background)

Collect upstream of or just outside of treatment area at time of treatment, or within in treatment area within 24 hours of the treatment starting.

| Section 1: Herbicide Application Information | Section 2: Monitoring Information |
|--|---|
| Agency: | Monitoring Date: Time: |
| System Treated: | Sampler Name: |
| Application Start Date: | Monitoring Location: |
| Herbicides Applied: | GPS Coordinates: Sketch monitoring location or describe location with identifiable points of |
| | reference (required if GPS coordinates not provided). |
| Surfactants Used: | 0,0 |
| Target Vegetation: | |
| Environmental Setting (circle one): Flowing Static | |
| ,0,1 | |
| Section 3: Water Quality Characteristics | |
| DO (mg/L): EC (μS/cm): _ | pH: |
| Temperature (°C): Turbidity (NTL | U): Water speed (ft/sec)*: |
| * Water speed only required for flowing water | |
| ((()) | |

Section 4: Site Observations (Refer to Definitions Sheet and mark a response for each field)

| DO YOU NOTICE | N/A | No | Unknown | YES, THE BENEFICIAL USE IS ADVERSELY AFFECTED. DESCRIBE. |
|---|-----|----|---------|--|
| Adverse Incident | | | | |
| Floating Material | | | | |
| Settleable Substances | | | | |
| Suspended Material | | | | |
| Bottom Deposits | | | | |
| Tastes and Odors | | | | |
| Water Coloration | | | | |
| Visible Films, Sheens, or Coatings | | | | |
| Fungi, Slimes, or Objectionable Growths | | | | |
| Aquatic Community Degradation | | | | |

For Client Use Only © 2022 Blankinship & Associates, Inc. All Rights Reserved

SAMPLE #2: Event Monitoring (Event)

Collect just outside of the treatment area immediately after the application of herbicide(s), but after sufficient time has elapsed such that treated water would have exited the treatment area. The timing for the collection of this sample will be a site-specific estimation.

| Is water leaving the treatment a | ırea? ☐ Yes | □ No | |
|--|---------------------------|--|-------------------|
| If no water is leaving the treatment area, | complete sections 1, 2, a | nd 4, skip section 3, and do not | collect a sample. |
| Section 1: Herbicide Application Ir | nformation Secti | on 2: Monitoring Informatio | on 🔪 |
| Agency: | Monito | oring Date: Tin | ne: |
| System Treated: | | er Name: | |
| Application Start Date: | • | oring Location: Coordinates: | Y |
| Herbicides Applied: | | monitoring location or describe location ace (required if GPS coordinates not pro | |
| Surfactants Used: | | 63 X | , |
| Target Vegetation: | | 3 0 | |
| Environmental Setting (circle one): Flow | ving Static | allo | |
| | 0°00 | CO | |
| Section 3: Water Quality Characte | ristics | | |
| DO (mg/L): | EC (μS/cm): | pH: | |
| Temperature (°C): | Turbidity (NTU): | Water speed (| ft/sec)*: |
| * Water speed only required for flowing w | water | | |
| Section 4: Site Observations (Refe | er to Definitions Sheet a | nd mark a response for each | field) |

| Do You NOTICE | N/A | No | Unknown | YES, THE BENEFICIAL USE IS ADVERSELY AFFECTED. DESCRIBE. |
|---|-----|----|---------|--|
| Adverse Incident | | | | |
| Floating Material | | | | |
| Settleable Substances | | | | |
| Suspended Material | | | | |
| Bottom Deposits | | | | |
| Tastes and Odors | | | | |
| Water Coloration | | | | |
| Visible Films, Sheens, or Coatings | | | | |
| Fungi, Slimes, or Objectionable Growths | | | | |
| Aquatic Community Degradation | | | | |

For Client Use Only © 2022 Blankinship & Associates, Inc. All Rights Reserved

For each active ingredient, one Field Duplicate and one Field Blank must be collected per environmental setting (moving water vs static water) per year

Section 2: Monitoring Information

SAMPLE #3: Post-Event Monitoring (Post)

Section 1: Herbicide Application Information

Collect from inside treatment area within 7 days of application, or when treatment is deemed complete.

| Agency: | | - | Monitoring | Date: Time: |
|--|---------------|-------|----------------------|---|
| System Treated: | | _ | Sampler Na | ~/, |
| Application Start Date: | | _ | Monitoring GPS Coord | |
| Herbicides Applied: | | - | Sketch monit | oring location or describe location with identifiable points of |
| Surfactants Used: | | _ | reference (re | quired if GPS coordinates not provided). |
| Target Vegetation: | 4 | 0 | Ø ' | SPI |
| Environmental Setting (circle one): Flowing | ı Stati | С | 2000 | 00 |
| Section 3: Water Quality Characteristi | ins | | 9 | |
| \'0' | , O | V | | 0 |
| DO (mg/L): | C (µS/cm | ı): | | pH: |
| Temperature (°C): | urbidity (N | VTU) | : ! | Water speed (ft/sec)*: |
| * Water speed only required for flowing wate | er (| | | |
| 4 40 | \rightarrow | _ | | |
| Section 4: Site Observations (Refer to | Definition | ons S | Sheet and n | nark a response for each field) |
| DO YOU NOTICE | N/A N | lo | Unknown | YES, THE BENEFICIAL USE IS ADVERSELY AFFECTED. DESCRIBE. |
| Adverse Incident | | | | |
| Floating Material | | | | |
| Settleable Substances | | | | |
| Suspended Material | | | | |
| Bottom Deposits | | | | |
| Tastes and Odors | | | | |
| Water Coloration | | | | |
| Visible Films, Sheens, or Coatings | | | | |
| Fungi, Slimes, or Objectionable Growths | | | | |
| Aquatic Community Degradation | | | | |

For Client Use Only
© 2022 Blankinship & Associates, Inc. All Rights Reserved

** For each active ingredient, one Field Duplicate (FD) and one Field Blank (FB) must be collected per environmental setting (moving water vs static water) per year**

Field Duplicate (FD) Sample:

Collect at same location and time as the monitoring sample (if possible collect with event or postevent sample) and using the same sampling technique.

| Section 1: Herbicide Application Informati | Section 2: Monitoring Information | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Agency: | | Monitoring | Date: | _ Time: | | | | | |
| System Treated: | | Sampler Na | ame: | | | | | | |
| Application Start Date: | | | | e one): BG / Event / Post | | | | | |
| | | | | one): BG Event / Post_ | | | | | |
| Herbicides Applied: | | reference (re | equired if GPS coordinates n | cation with identifiable points of ot provided). | | | | | |
| Surfactants Used: | | 10 | 50 | | | | | | |
| Target Vegetation: | | | S ~ | | | | | | |
| 4 0 . 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| ** | | 70 | | | | | | | |
| Section 3: Water Quality Measurements | 0 | J) | .0 | | | | | | |
| DO (mg/L):EC (µS | /cm): _ | | pH: | | | | | | |
| Temperature (°C): Water speed (ft/sec)*: | | | | | | | | | |
| * Water speed only required for flowing water | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Section 4: Site Observations (Refer to Definitions Sheet and mark a response for each field) | | | | | | | | | |
| - See (circ | le one, |): BG / Ever | | AL LIGE IS ADVEDGELY | | | | | |
| DO YOU NOTICE N/A | No | Unknown | • | IAL USE IS ADVERSELY D. DESCRIBE. | | | | | |
| Adverse Incident | | | | | | | | | |
| Floating Material | | | | | | | | | |
| Settleable Substances | | | | | | | | | |
| Suspended Material | | | | | | | | | |
| Bottom Deposits | | | | | | | | | |
| Tastes and Odors | | | | | | | | | |
| Water Coloration | | | | | | | | | |
| Visible Films, Sheens, or Coatings | | | | | | | | | |
| Fungi, Slimes, or Objectionable Growths | | | | | | | | | |
| Aquatic Community Degradation | | | | | | | | | |

For Client Use Only
© 2022 Blankinship & Associates, Inc. All Rights Reserved

** For each active ingredient, one Field Duplicate (FD) and one Field Blank (FB) must be collected per environmental setting (moving water vs static water) per year**

Field Blank (FB) Sample:

Section 1: Herbicide Application Information

Prepare using distilled water at the monitoring site immediately prior to or immediately after the collection of the monitoring sample.

Section 2: Monitoring Information

| Agency: | | | Monitoring | Date: Time: | | | | | |
|--|----------|--------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| System Treated: | | | Sampler Name: | | | | | | |
| Application Start Date: | | | | Mos. Kr. M. | | | | | |
| Herbicides Applied: | | | 1.0 | | | | | | |
| Surfactants Used: | | | 0,1 | 0,00 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Target Vegetation: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | () | 100 | ×ίO, | | | | | |
| | XC | | 44 | 7 | | | | | |
| Section 3: Water Quality Measurements | | | | | | | | | |
| DO (mg/L): | EC (µS | cm): _ | <u>,0,,</u> | pH: | | | | | |
| Temperature (°C): | Furbidit | y (NTL | (): | Water speed (ft/sec): <u>N/A</u> | | | | | |
| , X , O , X V | | | | | | | | | |
| Section 4: Site Observations (Refer to Definitions Sheet and mark a response for each field) | | | | | | | | | |
| Do you notice | N/A | No | Unknown | YES, THE BENEFICIAL USE IS ADVERSELY AFFECTED. DESCRIBE. | | | | | |
| Adverse Incident | Х | | | | | | | | |
| Floating Material | Х | | | | | | | | |
| Settleable Substances | Х | | | | | | | | |
| Suspended Material | Х | | | | | | | | |
| Bottom Deposits | Х | | | | | | | | |
| Tastes and Odors | Х | | | | | | | | |
| Water Coloration | Х | | | | | | | | |
| Visible Films, Sheens, or Coatings | Х | | | | | | | | |
| Fungi, Slimes, or Objectionable Growths | Х | | | | | | | | |
| Aquatic Community Degradation | | | | | | | | | |

8.3 Sample Collection

If the water depth is 6 feet or greater the sample will be collected at a depth of 3 feet. If the water depth is less than 6 feet, the sample will be collected at the approximate mid-depth. As necessary, an intermediary sampling device (e.g., Van-Dorn style sampler or long-handled sampling pole) will be used for locations that are difficult to access. Long-handled sampling poles with attached sampling container will be inverted before being lowered into the water to the desired sample depth, where it will be turned upright to collect the sample. Appropriate cleaning techniques are discussed in Section 8.8.4.

8.4 Field Measurements

In conjunction with sample collection, temperature will be measured in the field. Turbidity, electrical conductivity, pH, and DO may be measured in the field using field meters as available or analyzed in the laboratory. Turbidity, pH, and DO meters are calibrated according to manufacturer's specifications at the recommended frequency and checked with a standard prior to each use. Conductivity meters are calibrated by the manufacturer and will be checked according to manufacturer's specifications with standards throughout the year (typically once per month) to evaluate instrument performance. If the calibration is outside the manufacturer's specifications, the conductivity probe will be recalibrated. Calibration logs are maintained for all instruments to document calibration.

8.5 Sample Preservation and Transportation

Samples may be collected directly into preserved containers, or collected in unpreserved containers, and preserved at the laboratory upon receipt if the analytical method requires preservation. Once a sample is collected and labeled it will immediately be placed in a dark, cold environment, typically a cooler with ice maintained at four degrees Celsius (°C). Delivery to the laboratory should occur as soon as practicable after sample collection.

8.6 Sample Analysis

Table 2 shows the constituents that each sample must be analyzed for. Note that chemical analysis is only required for the active ingredient(s) used in the treatment.

Table 2: Required Sample Analysis

| Table 2. Nequired Sample Analysis | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|-----------|-------------|--|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Typical | | | | | | | | |
| | Analytical | Reporting | Hold Time | | Chemical | | | | | |
| Analyte | Method | Limit | (Days) | Container | Preservative | | | | | |
| Temperature ¹ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen ¹ | 360.1 or 360.2 | 0.0 mg/L | 1 | 1L Amber Glass | None | | | | | |
| Turbidity ² | 180.1 | 0.00 NTU | 2 | 100 mL High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) | None | | | | | |
| Electrical Conductivity ² | 120.1 | 0 μS/cm | 28 | 100 mL HDPE | None | | | | | |
| pH ² | 150.1 or 150.2 | 1-14 | Immediately | 100 mL HDPE | None | | | | | |
| *Diquat Dibromide | 549 | 40 μg/L | 7 | 500 mL Amber HDPE | Sulfuric acid | | | | | |
| | HPLC | 10 μg/L | 14 | 500 mL Amber Glass or | None | | | | | |
| *Flumioxazin | | | | 2 x 40mL Volatile | | | | | | |
| | | | | Organics Analysis (VOA) | | | | | | |
| *Glyphosate | 547 | 0.5 μg/L | 14 | 2 x 40 mL VOA | None | | | | | |
| *Imazamox | HPLC | 1.0 ug/L | 14 | 2 x 40 mL VOA | None | | | | | |
| *Imazapyr | 532m | 100 ug/L | 14 | 1 L Amber Glass | None | | | | | |
| Nonylphenol ³ | 550.1m | 0.5 μg/L | 7 | 2 x 40 mL VOA | None | | | | | |
| *Penoxsulam | 532m | 20 ug/L | 7 | 1 L Amber Glass | None | | | | | |
| *Triclopyr | 8151, 8150A, 615 | 1.0 μg/L | 7 | 2 x 40 mL VOA | None | | | | | |

Notes:

HPLC – High Performance Liquid Chromatography.

m – Modified extraction or analysis technique.

8.7 Reporting Procedures

An annual report for each reporting period, from January 1 to December 31 will be prepared by March 1 of the following year and will be submitted to the appropriate RWQCB. In years when no aquatic herbicides are used, a letter stating no applications will be sent to the appropriate RWQCB in lieu of an annual report.

The annual report will contain the following information as described in Attachment C of the Permit:

- 1. An Executive Summary discussing compliance or violation of the Permit and the effectiveness of the APAP; and
- 2. A summary of monitoring data, including the identification of water quality improvements or degradation as a result of aquatic herbicide application.

^{*} Signifies aquatic herbicide active ingredient. Chemical analysis is only required for the active ingredient(s) used in treatment. Analytical methods are taken from the National Environmental Methods Index (2004).

¹Field measured.

²May be field or laboratory measured.

³Required only when a nonlyphenol-based surfactant is used.

VME will collect and retain all information on the previous reporting year. When requested by the Deputy Director or Executive Officer of the applicable RWQCB, VME will submit the annual information collected, including:

- 1. An Executive Summary discussing compliance or violation of the Permit and the effectiveness of the APAP to reduce or prevent the discharge of pollutants associated with herbicide applications;
- A summary of monitoring data, including the identification of water quality improvements or degradation as a result of aquatic herbicide application, if appropriate, and recommendations for improvement to the APAP (including proposed BMPs) and monitoring program based on the monitoring results. All receiving water monitoring data shall be compared to applicable receiving water limitations and receiving water monitoring triggers;
- 3. Identification of BMPs and a discussion of their effectiveness in meeting the Permit requirements;
- 4. A discussion of BMP modifications addressing violations of the Permit;
- 5. A map showing the location of each treatment area;
- 6. Types and amounts of aquatic herbicides used at each application event during each application;
- 7. Information on surface area and/or volume of treatment area and any other information used to calculate dosage, concentration, and quantity of each aquatic herbicide used;
- 8. Sampling results shall indicate the name of the sampling agency or organization, detailed sampling location information (including latitude and longitude or township/range/section if available), detailed map or description of each sampling area (address, cross roads, etc.), collection date, name of constituent/parameter and its concentration detected, minimum levels, method detection limits for each constituent analysis, name or description of water body sampled, and a comparison with applicable water quality standards, description of analytical QA/quality control plan. Sampling results shall be tabulated so that they are readily discernible; and
- 9. Summary of AHALs.

VME will report to the SWRCB and appropriate RWQCB any noncompliance, including any unexpected or unintended effect of an aquatic herbicide that may endanger health or the environment. The Twenty-Four Hour Report will be provided orally, by way of a phone call, to the SWRCB and appropriate RWQCB within 24 hours from the time VME becomes aware of any noncompliance. The Twenty-Four Hour Report will include the following information:

- 1. The caller's name and telephone number;
- 2. Applicator name and mailing address;
- 3. Waste Discharge Identification (WDID) number;
- 4. How and when VME became aware of the noncompliance;
- 5. Description of the location of the noncompliance;
- 6. Description of the noncompliance identified and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) pesticide registration number for each product VME applied in the area of the noncompliance; and
- 7. Description of the steps that VME has taken or will take to correct, repair, remedy, cleanup, or otherwise address any adverse effects.

If VME is unable to notify the SWRCB and appropriate RWQCB within 24 hours, VME will do so as soon as possible and provide a rationale for why VME was unable to provide notification of noncompliance within 24 hours.

In addition to the Twenty-Four Hour Report, VME will provide a written submission within five (5) days of the time VME becomes aware of the noncompliance. The Five-Day Written Report will contain the following information:

- 1. Date and time VME contacted the State Water Board and the appropriate Regional Water Board notifying of the noncompliance and any instructions received from the State and/or Regional Water Board; information required to be provided in Section D.1 (24-Hour Reporting);
- 2. A description of the noncompliance and its cause, including exact date and time and species affected, estimated number of individual and approximate size of dead or distressed organisms (other than the pests to be eliminated);
- 3. Location of incident, including the names of any waters affected and appearance of those waters (sheen, color, clarity, etc.);
- 4. Magnitude and scope of the affected area (e.g., aquatic square area or total stream distance affected);
- Aquatic herbicide application rate, intended use site (e.g., banks, above, or direct to water), method of application, and name of herbicide product, description of herbicide ingredients, and U.S. EPA registration number;
- 6. Description of the habitat and the circumstances under which the noncompliance activity occurred (including any available ambient water data for aquatic herbicides applied);
- 7. Laboratory tests performed, if any, and timing of tests. Provide a summary of the test results within five days after they become available;
- 8. If applicable, explain why VME believes the noncompliance could not have been caused by exposure to aquatic herbicides from VME's application; and
- 9. Actions to be taken to prevent recurrence of adverse incidents.

The Five Day Written Report will be submitted within five (5) days of the time VME becomes aware of the noncompliance unless SWRCB staff or Regional Water Board staff waive the above-described report if an oral report has been received within 24 hours.

8.8 Sampling Methods and Guidelines

The purpose of this section is to present methods and guidelines for the collection and analysis of samples necessary to meet the APAP objective of assessing adverse impacts, if any, to beneficial uses of water bodies treated with aquatic herbicides.

This section describes the techniques, equipment, analytical methods, and quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) procedures for sample collection and analysis. Guidance for the preparation of this chapter included: NPDES Storm Water Sampling Guidance Document (USEPA 1992); Guidelines and Specifications for Preparing Quality Assurance Project Plans (USEPA 1980); and U.S. Geological Survey, National Field Manual for the Collection of Water Quality Data (USGS 1995).

8.8.1 Surface water Sampling Techniques

As discussed in Section 8.3, if the water depth is 6 feet or greater the sample will be collected at a depth of 3 feet, if the water depth is less than 6 feet the sample will be collected at the approximate mid-depth. As necessary, an intermediary sampling device (e.g., Van-Dorn style sampler or long-handled sampling pole) will be used for locations that are difficult to access. Long-handled sampling poles with attached sampling container will be inverted before being lowered into the water to the desired sample depth, where it will be turned upright to collect the sample.

During collection, the samples will be collected in a manner that minimizes the amount of suspended sediment and debris in the sample. Surface water grab samples will be collected directly by the sample container, or by an intermediary container in the event that the sample container cannot be adequately

or safely used. Intermediary samplers will be either poly (plastic/HDPE), stainless steel or glass. Any container that will be reused between sites will be washed thoroughly and triple rinsed before collection of the next sample, see Section 8.8.4. Alternatively, disposable poly or glass intermediary sample containers may be used.

8.8.2 Sample Containers

Clean, empty sample containers with caps will be supplied in protective cardboard cartons or ice chests by the primary laboratory. The containers will be certified clean by either the laboratory or the container supplier. The sampler will utilize the appropriate sample container as specified by the laboratory for each sample type. Sample container type, holding time, and appropriate preservatives are listed in **Table 2**. Each container will be affixed with a label indicating a discrete sample number for each sample location. The label will also indicate the date and time of sampling and the sampler's name.

8.8.3 Sample Preservation and Filtering

As discussed in Section 8.3, samples may either be collected with bottles containing the correct preservative(s) or collected in unpreserved bottles and preserved upon receipt at the analytical lab. If filtration is required, it must be done prior to sample preservation. After collection, samples will be refrigerated at approximately 4°C, stored in a dark place, and transported to the analytical laboratory. Refer to **Table 2**.

8.8.4 Sampling Equipment Cleaning

In the event that sampling equipment will be used in more than one location, the equipment will be thoroughly cleaned with a non-phosphate cleaner, triple-rinsed with distilled water, and then rinsed once with the water being sampled prior to its first use at a new sample collection location.

8.8.5 Sample Packing and Shipping

All samples are to be packed and transported the day the samples are collected to provide ample time for samples to be analyzed within the required holding time.

Ice will be included in coolers containing samples that require temperature control. Samples will be packaged in the following manner:

- 1. Sample container stickers will be checked for secure attachment to each sample container.
- 2. The sample containers will be placed in the cooler. Bubble-wrap, suitable foam padding, or newspaper will be placed between sample containers to protect the sample containers from breakage during shipment and handling.
- 3. The Chain of Custody (COC) will be placed inside a plastic bag and placed inside the cooler, typically taped to the underside of the lid. The COC will indicate each unique sample identification name, time and place of sample collection, the sample collector, the required analysis, turnaround-time, and location to which data will be reported.
- 4. The cooler will then be prepared for pick-up by a courier or delivered directly to the laboratory.

8.9 Field Sampling Operations

8.9.1 Field Logbook

A 3-ring binder or bound logbook will be maintained by members of the sampling team to provide a record of sample location, significant events, observations, and measurements taken during sampling. Observations and measurements should be supplemented with pictures of site conditions at the time of sampling if possible. Field logbooks are intended to provide sufficient data and observations to enable project team members to reconstruct events that occurred during the sampling. The field logbook entries will be legible, factual, detailed, and objective.

When recording observations in the field book, the sampling team will note the presence or absence of:

- 1. Floating or suspended matter;
- 2. Discoloration;
- 3. Bottom deposits;
- 4. Aquatic life;
- 5. Visible films, sheens, or coatings;
- 6. Fungi, slimes, or objectionable growths; and
- 7. Potential nuisance conditions.

See Figure 4 for the forms to be used to record relevant field data when sampling.

8.9.2 Alteration of Sampling Techniques

It is possible that actual field conditions may require a modification of the procedures outlined herein. Specifically, water levels, weather, other environmental parameters and hazards including high flows, and rainfall may pose access and/or sampling problems. In such instances, variations from standard procedures and planned sampling locations and frequencies will be documented by means of appropriate entry into the field forms.

8.9.3 Flow Estimation

A flow meter calibrated according to the manufacturer's directions will be placed as close to the center of the stream or creek as possible and a reading taken in feet per second (ft/sec). Alternatively, the time a common floating object (branch, leaf, etc.) travels a known distance will be estimated and represented in ft/sec. A minimum distance of approximately 25 feet will be used. Flow estimation measurements will be made for all moving water sampling locations.

8.9.4 Chain-of-Custody (COC)

The COC record will be employed as physical evidence of sample custody. The sampler will complete a COC record to accompany each sample shipment from the field to the laboratory. The COC will specify: time, date, location of sample collection, specific and unique sample number, requested analysis, sampler name, required turn-around-time, time and date of sample transaction between field and laboratory staff, preservative, if any, and name of receiving party at the laboratory.

Corrections to the COC will be made by drawing a line through, initialing, and dating the error, and entering the correct information. Erasures are not permitted.

Upon receipt of the samples, laboratory personnel will check to confirm that the contents of the ice chest(s) are accurately described by the COC. Upon verification of the number and type of samples and the requested analysis, a laboratory representative will sign the COC, indicating receipt of the samples.

The COC record form will be completed in duplicate. Upon sample delivery, the original copy will be left with the laboratory and a copy will be kept by the sampler, three-hole punched, and placed in the field logbook.

8.9.5 Sample Label

The label will contain information on the specific project (i.e. Vallejo Mobile Estates), the unique individual sample ID (i.e. Lake Dalwigk Drainage Channel – BG), the date and time the sample was collected, and the name of the sampler (i.e. Brad Ford).

Prior to sampling, a waterproof label will be completed with waterproof ink and will be affixed to the appropriate container.

8.9.6 Corrections to Documentation

Documents will not be destroyed or thrown away, even if they are illegible or contain inaccuracies that require a replacement or correction. If an error is made on a document used by an individual, that individual will make corrections by making a line through the error and entering the correct information. The erroneous information will not be obliterated. Corrections will be initialed and dated.

8.9.7 Document Control

A central file location will be established and used to store documentation such as the filed logbook and laboratory data.

8.9.8 Sample Kit

Prior to departing to the field to collect samples, the following equipment will be prepared for use:

- Laboratory-supplied sampling bottles (one set for each sample to be collected plus spares, plus QA/QC samples)
- Sample labels (one for each sample to be collected plus spares)
- Sharpie[®] Pen or other permanent, water-proof ink marker
- Chain of Custody forms
- Field data logbook
- Zip lock style bags for paperwork
- Non-phosphate cleaner (i.e. Liqui-Nox[®])
- Deionized or distilled water
- Ice or blue ice packs
- Clear Mailing Tape
- Cooler for samples
- Grab pole or Van-Dorn style sampler
- Gloves
- Rubber boots or waders (as needed)
- Smartphone or stopwatch
- Camera

8.10 Quality Assurance and Quality Control

The purpose of QA/QC is to assure and control the quality of data generated during sample collection and analysis as described earlier in this document. QA/QC are measured in a variety of ways, as described below.

8.10.1 Precision

Precision is a measure of the reproducibility of measurements under a given set of conditions. It is a quantitative measure of the variability of a group of measurements compared to the average value of the group and is expressed as the relative percent difference (RPD). Sources of error in precision (imprecision) can be related to both laboratory and field techniques. Specifically, lack of precision is caused by inconsistencies in instrument setting, measurement and sampling techniques, and record keeping.

Laboratory precision is estimated by generating analytical laboratory matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) sample results and calculating RPD. In general, laboratory RPD values of less than 25% will be considered acceptable.

Field precision is estimated by collecting FDs in the field and calculating RPD. In general, field RPD values of less than 35% will be considered acceptable. Refer to the discussion of FDs in Section 8.10.5.

8.10.2 Accuracy

Accuracy is a measure of how close data are to their true values and is expressed as percent recovery (%R), which is the difference between the mean and the true value expressed as a percentage of the true value. Sources of error (inaccuracy) are the sampling process, field contamination, preservation, handling, sample matrix effects, sample preparation, analytical techniques, and instrument error.

Laboratory accuracy is estimated using reference standards, MS, and MSD samples. Acceptable accuracy is generally between 75 and 125% and varies based on the analytical laboratory and analysis. Refer to the earlier discussion of MS and MSD.

8.10.3 Completeness

Completeness is defined as the percentage of measurements made which are judged to be valid measurements. The completeness objective is that sufficiently valid data is generated to allow for submittal to the SWRCB and RWQCB. Completeness will be assessed by comparing the number of valid sample results to the number of samples collected. The objective for completeness is > 80 %.

8.10.4 Representativeness

Representativeness refers to a sample or group of samples that reflects the predominant characteristics of the media at the sampling point. The objective in addressing representativeness is to assess whether the information obtained during the sampling and analysis represents the actual site conditions.

8.10.5 Field Duplicate

The purpose of a FD is to quantify the precision, or reproducibility, of the field sampling technique. It involves the duplication of the technique used for a particular field sample collection method and the

subsequent comparison of the initial and duplicate values. This comparison is measured as the RPD. RPD is calculated as follows:

RPD = [(Sample1 – Sample2) / (Average of Samples 1 and 2)] X 100

An acceptable field RPD value is < 35%.

The FD is collected at the same time as the actual field sample and one FD per year will be collected per active herbicidal ingredient.

8.10.6 Field Blank

The purpose of the FB is to assure that the field sampling technique, equipment, or equipment cleaning technique or materials do not impart a false positive or negative result during the collection of the sample. A FB will be prepared with distilled water and allowed to come into contact with the sampling device in a manner identical to the actual sample. The only acceptable value for analytes in the FB is less than the detection limit for the compounds of interest, or an expected, previously determined, background value.

The FB will be collected at the same time as the actual field sample and one FB per year will be collected per active herbicidal ingredient.

8.10.7 Laboratory Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Laboratory precision and accuracy will be monitored by a series of laboratory-generated quality control samples. As long as sufficient sample volume is collected and submitted to the laboratory, no additional effort is required by field activities to generate laboratory quality control samples. Each set of field samples will have associated with it one each from the following set of laboratory quality control samples.

8.10.7.1 Method Blank

The purpose of the method blank (MB) is to assure that the analytical technique does not impart a false positive result during the preparation or analysis of the sample. An MB will be prepared by the laboratory from high purity distilled or deionized water. The only acceptable values for analytes in the MB are zero or an expected, previously determined, background values.

8.10.7.2 Matrix Spike

The purpose of a MS is to quantify accuracy and to assure that the analytical technique does not impart a false negative or positive result during the preparation or analysis of the sample. It involves the introduction of the analyte (or an analyte surrogate) of interest into the actual sample matrix and then quantitating it.

The amount detected divided by the amount added to the matrix is expressed as %R. Acceptable values of %R range from 75% to 125%. %R is calculated as follows:

%R = [(Spike Amount Detected - Sample Value) / Amount Spiked] x 100

8.10.7.3 Matrix Spike Duplicate

The purpose of an MSD is to quantify laboratory precision. An acceptable RPD is less than or equal to 25%. The MSD involves duplication of the MS resulting in two data points from which RPD is calculated as follows:

RPD = [(MS - MSD) / (Average of MS and MSD)] X 100

8.10.8 Data Validation

Data validation will use data generated from the analytical laboratory and the field. References that can be used to assist in data validation include USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (USEPA 1994) and USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (USEPA 1999).

The purpose of data validation is to confirm that data collected are of sufficient quality for inclusion in reports to the RWQCB. In order to serve this purpose, the following information must be available to evaluate data validity:

- 1. Date of sample collection required to uniquely identify sample and holding time.
- 2. Location of samples required to identify sample.
- 3. Laboratory QA/QC procedures required to assess analytical accuracy, precision, and sample integrity. A laboratory QA/QC sample set consists of a MS, a MSD, and a MB. A laboratory QA/QC sample set will be analyzed by the laboratory for each field sample batch. Sufficient sample volume and number will be supplied to the laboratory in order to prepare and evaluate the laboratory QA/QC sample set.
- 4. Analytical methods required to assess appropriateness and acceptability of analytical method used.
- 5. Detection limits required to assess lower limit of parameter identification.
- Holding times, preservation, and dates of extraction and analysis required to assess if a sample
 was extracted and analyzed within the specified time limits and if a sample was stored at the
 appropriate temperature.
- 7. Field QA/QC procedures required to assess field precision and sample integrity. A field QA/QC sample set consists of FB and FD samples. A field QA/QC sample set will be analyzed by the laboratory for one sampling event per year. Sufficient sample volume and number will be collected in the field and supplied to each laboratory in order to prepare and evaluate the field QA/QC sample set.

8.10.9 Data Qualification

Data collected for compliance with the Permit will be qualified through the Analytical Lab Validation process described in Section 8.10.7. This process requires that all data has been thoroughly reviewed and qualified as valid. During the data validation process, data qualifiers will be used to classify sample data. The following qualifiers will be used:

A - Acceptable. The data have satisfied each of the requirements and are quantitatively acceptable (i.e., valid) and will be used in reports.

R - Reject. Data not valid. This qualifier will be used for samples that cannot be uniquely identified by date of collection or sample location or that fail holding time or, detection limit requirements. Invalid data will not be presented in reports submitted to the RWQCB.

8.10.10 Corrective Action

If previously described criteria for valid data are not met, then corrective action as follows will be taken:

- 1. The laboratory will be asked to check their QA/QC data and calculations associated with the sample in question. If the error is not found and resolved, then:
 - a. The extracts or the actual samples, which will be saved until the data are validated, will be reanalyzed by the laboratory if they are within holding time limitations. These new results will be compared with the previous results. If the error is not found and resolved, then:
 - b. If field analytical equipment is used, then calibration records will be reviewed. If the error is not found, then:
 - c. The sampling procedure and sample preparation will be re-checked and verified. If the procedures appear to be in order and the error is not resolved, then:
 - d. The data will be deemed invalid and not used.
- 2. Upon discovery of the source of an error, every attempt will be made to address the cause of the error and remedy the problem.

8.10.11 Data Reporting

The results of sampling and analysis will be summarized in the Annual Report. The data will be tabulated so that they are readily discernible.

Element 9: Procedures to Prevent Sample Contamination

Sample collection will not be done in close proximity to application equipment and preferably upwind. Sampling will be done in a manner that prevents contact with aquatic pesticide application equipment, containers, or applicator personal protective equipment (PPE). Care will be taken by samplers to minimize contact with any treated water or vegetation.

In the event that sampling equipment will be used in more than one location, the equipment will be thoroughly cleaned with a non-phosphate cleaner, triple-rinsed uncontaminated water, and then rinsed once with the water being sampled prior to its first use at a new sample collection location, as described in Section 8.8.4. Gloves will be changed between sites.

Element 10: Description of BMPs

VME employs the following BMPs to allow for the safe, efficient, and efficacious use of aquatic herbicides.

10.1 Measures to Prevent Spills and Spill Containment in the Event of a Spill

Applicators take care when mixing and loading aquatic herbicides and adjuvants. All label language is followed to allow safe handling and loading of aquatic herbicides. Application equipment is regularly checked and maintained to identify and minimize the likelihood of leaks developing or failure that would lead to a spill. If possible, aquatic herbicides will be mixed and loaded at a contained location with no exposure to aquatic environments were a spill to occur.

If aquatic herbicides are spilled, they will be prevented from entering any water bodies to the extent practicable. VME staff are trained to contain any spilled material and are familiar with the use of absorbent materials such as kitty litter, "pigs" and "pillows". Spills will be cleaned up according to label instructions, and all equipment used to remove spills will be properly contained and disposed of or decontaminated, as appropriate. Applicators will report spills as required by VME's policy and in a manner consistent with local, state, and federal requirements.

10.2 Measures to Ensure Appropriate Use Rate

Application of aquatic herbicides will be conducted individuals who are or are supervised by individuals who are considered qualified applicators by DPR. Examples of qualified staff include those who have a Qualified Applicator Certificate (QAC) or Qualified Applicator License (QAL). Holders of QAC or QAL, or those under their direct supervision make applications recommended by the PCA. These applicators have knowledge of proper equipment loading, nozzle selection, calibration, and operation so that spills are minimized, precise application rates are made according to the label, and only target vegetation is treated. Calibration allows the applicator to check the application rate to confirm that the correct quantity and rate of aquatic herbicide is applied.

10.2.1 Site Scouting

Prior to treatment, VME's PCA and/or qualified staff scout sites to evaluate the extent to which acceptable aquatic weed thresholds have been exceeded. Maintenance activities in the channel focus on maintaining sufficient capacity to minimize the potential for stormwater flows to overtop the channel banks. As such, Thresholds are based on conveyance system capacity, growth stage and density of vegetation that would impede water flow.

If a location is deemed to have exceeded a threshold or given aquatic weed population is anticipated to exceed a threshold based on site and weather conditions, historic aquatic weed growth, or other information, an aquatic herbicide application is considered. If the application can be made without negatively impacting the water quality, then an application is made.

10.2.2 Written Recommendations Prepared by PCA

Prior to application, a PCA licensed by DPR and/or qualified VME staff scout the area(s) to be treated, makes a positive identification of pest(s) present, checks applicable product label(s) for control efficacy, and in collaboration with VME staff, the PCA prepares a written recommendation, including rates of application, and any warnings or conditions that limit the application so that non-target flora and fauna are not adversely impacted. Licensed PCAs must complete 40 hours of continuing education every 2 years to stay licensed, and therefore are up-to-date on the latest techniques for pest control.

10.2.3 Applications Made According to Label

All aquatic herbicide applications are made according to the product label in accordance with regulations of the U.S. EPA, CalEPA, California Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), DPR, and the local Agricultural Commissioner. VME's PCA and DPR-licensed Qualified Applicator Certificate (QAC) or Qualified Applicator License (QAL) holders regularly monitor updates and amendments to the label so that applications are in accordance with label directions. Licensed QALs and QACs must complete 20 hours of Continuing Education every 2 years to stay licensed, and therefore are up to date on the latest techniques for pest control.

10.2.4 Applications Made by Qualified Personnel

As appropriate, consistent with applicable regulations, VME will utilize QALs, QACs or VME staff under the supervision of QALs or QACs to make applications or supervise applications recommended by the PCA. These VME staff have knowledge of proper equipment loading, nozzle selection, calibration, and operation so that spills are minimized, precise application rates are made according to the label, and only target plants are treated.

10.3 The Discharger's Plan in Educating its Staff and Herbicide Applicators on How to Avoid Any Potential Adverse Effects from the Herbicide Applications

See information above on the Continuing Education requirements of VME staff responsible for selection and application of aquatic herbicides. Handlers are also required to be provided an annual label training that focuses on safe material handling, including mixing and loading.

10.4 Application Coordination to Minimize Impact of Application on Water Users

As required by the aquatic herbicide label, water users potentially affected by any water use restrictions will be notified prior to an application being made.

10.5 Description of Measures to Prevent Fish Kills

It is important to acknowledge that the use of aquatic herbicides, even when used according to label instructions, may result in unavoidable fish kills. Nonetheless, measures will be taken to reduce the likelihood of fish kills as described below. Generally speaking, the concentration of residual aquatic herbicides (i.e., the concentration of the aquatic herbicide present after the treatment is complete) is not sufficiently high to result in fish kills.

10.5.1 Applications Made According to Label

All aquatic herbicide applications are made according to the product label in accordance with regulations of the U.S. EPA, California EPA (Cal-EPA), DPR, OSHA and the local Agricultural Commissioner. Precautions on the product label to prevent fish kills will be followed. For example, limitations on the surface water area treated will be followed to prevent dead aquatic weeds from accumulating and then decaying and subsequently depressing the DO level. Depressed DO may adversely impact fish populations.

10.5.2 Written Recommendations Prepared by PCA

Prior to application, a PCA licensed by DPR and/or VME staff scouts the area to be treated, makes a positive identification of pest(s) present, checks applicable product label(s) for control efficacy, and in

collaboration with VME staff, the PCA prepares a written recommendation, including rates of application, and any warnings or conditions that limit the application so that fish are not adversely impacted.

10.5.3 Applications Made by Qualified Personnel

As appropriate, consistent with applicable regulations, VME will utilize QACs, QALs, or VME staff under the supervision of QALs or QACs to make applications or supervise applications recommended by the PCA. These applicators have knowledge of proper equipment loading, nozzle selection, calibration, and operation so that spills are minimized, precise application rates are made according to the label, and only target vegetation is treated. Calibration ensures that the correct quantity and rate of herbicide is applied.

Element 11: Examination of Possible Alternatives

11.1 Evaluation of Other Management Options

Treatment of aquatic weeds is determined by the application of IPM. For example, if a population of aquatic weeds equals or exceeds a threshold, an aquatic herbicide application is made. Thresholds are met when aquatic weeds cause problems, typically associated with capacity, flow impediment, or sediment build-up.

Aquatic herbicide applications may also be made prior to threshold exceedance. For example, based on predicted growth rate and density, weather, water availability, and historical records and experience, aquatic weeds may reasonably be predicted to cause future problems. Accordingly, they may be treated soon after emergence. Even though aquatic weeds may not be an immediate problem at this phase, treating them before they mature reduces the amount of aquatic herbicide needed because the younger aquatic weeds are more susceptible and there is less plant mass to target. Selection of appropriate aquatic herbicides and rate of application is done based on the identification of the aquatic weed and the appearance of that aquatic weed on the product label.

11.1.1 No Action

As feasible, this technique is used. For example, consistent with the IPM program used by VME, a threshold is typically reached prior to treatment. Prior to reaching a threshold, no control is considered.

11.1.2 Prevention

Habitat Modification

After the removal of non-native terrestrial and emergent invasive species, the introduction and reestablishment of native species has been successful along the banks or margins of streams and rivers in some cases. See discussion below under *Native Species Establishment*.

VME will also consider other habitat modifying techniques appropriate for localized areas; for example, dredging. In areas where sedimentation has significantly impacted the capacity of the channel, dredging can increase the cross-sectional area, allow for conveyance of higher flows, and remove nutrient-containing sediment. Additionally, dredging sites like VME's shallow drainage channel reduces habitat available to emergent vegetation like cattails. A limitation of this approach is the cost and time required for VME to obtain the proper permits to perform any sediment removal.

An additional approaches to habitat modification include lining of the channel with concrete or gunite, and piping in the channel to be a below-ground facility instead of an open stormwater channel. These approaches are potentially effective at preventing the growth of emergent vegetation, but come at significant costs. Piping in the Lake Dalwigk channel was estimated to cost over \$10 million in the 2010's, making the alternative cost prohibitive.

11.1.3 Mechanical or Physical Methods

Mechanical Removal

Mechanical removal VME's conveyance system requires various methods including the use of hand or motor-driven cutting tools or removing weeds with an excavator.

Generally, these techniques are very labor intensive per unit acre or length of water treated. Mechanical removal places personnel at risk of general water, slip, trip and fall hazards, wildlife, drowning, risks the spilling of motor oil and fuel, and can increase air pollution. The cost per area of mechanical removal is significantly higher than the cost of labor, product, and equipment for the application of aquatic herbicides. The increased cost of mechanical aquatic weed abatement does not include the cost of the aforementioned risks (pollution abatement, workman's compensation claims, etc.).

In some instances, the use of mechanical techniques may be necessary when the use of aquatic herbicides is not practical, or vegetation is not at an appropriate growth stage. Blankinship & Associates estimates that mechanical removal is 10 to 25 times more expensive than using chemical controls. This additional expense does not include the cost for disposal or for obtaining permits to conduct such work.

Environmental impacts due to the use of mechanical techniques include the creation of water-borne sediment and turbidity due to people and equipment working in the water. This suspended sediment can adversely affect aquatic species by lowering DO and preventing light penetration. Disturbing sediment may cause additional problems including, but not limited to, new areas for aquatic weed establishment, fragmentation and re-establishment of aquatic weeds, and siltation. Many species VME hopes to control can be spread through fragmentation, and mechanical control has the potential to increase the distribution of the problem vegetation. Waste must be spoiled on-site and can create nuisance conditions as it dries out.

Mechanical removal has been and will continue to be used by VME, as feasible, to remove vegetation from the channel. While effective in the short-term, regrowth or reemergence of vegetation is common, often requiring a secondary control method to be employed to maintain control of vegetation like cattails.

Grazing

This option is most suitable for emergent and terrestrial weeds and is not suitable for submerged aquatic weeds. Impacts to water quality from animal feces, increases in turbidity, nutrients, and bank erosion, and impacts to desirable species make this option unfeasible in some cases. The cost of hiring grazing animals is also generally more costly than chemical control alternatives. The presence of water in the channel is typical year-round, thus making access to cattails growing in the water or on the off-bank challenging to impossible for the grazing animals. Other challenges associated with grazing the channel include its location within a municipality and developed community, access issues, and lack of fencing. Implementation of grazing may be considered during drought years if little to no water is present in the channel, but is generally a difficult to implement control option.

11.1.4 Cultural Methods

Cultural methods used to reduce the volume of aquatic herbicides used include modifying the timing of aquatic herbicide and non-herbicide controls to prevent plants from reaching reproductive growth stages. Another cultural method is making applications before the density and growth of aquatic vegetation is high or significant enough to require higher aquatic herbicide application rates, increased spray solution for spray-to-wet, or additional applications to maintain aquatic weed populations below threshold levels.

11.1.5 Biological Control Agents

Goats and sheep are often used for grazing in and along riparian areas and levees. See discussion above in Section 11.1.3, *Grazing*.

11.1.6 Aquatic Herbicides

The selection of and decision to use an aquatic herbicide is based on the recommendation of a PCA in collaboration with VME staff. The PCA considers a variety of control options that may include mechanical and cultural techniques that alone or in combination with chemical controls are the most efficacious and protective of the environment.

Evaluating alternative control techniques is part of VME's IPM approach; therefore, an alternative treatment may be selected as part its program. Alternative control techniques and detailed description of each of these is presented in Section 11.1. In general, alternative control techniques are expensive, labor intensive, not as effective, and may cause temporary water quality degradation.

The quantity of aquatic herbicide required for an application is determined by a PCA that has followed the label directions in making a recommendation. The rate at which an aquatic herbicide is used is highly variable and depends on the type, time of year, location, and density and type of aquatic weeds, water presence, and goal of the application. All these factors are considered by the PCA prior to making a recommendation for an application.

11.2 Using the Least Intrusive Method of Aquatic Herbicide Application

VME uses a variety of application methods including truck- or trailer-mounted spray equipment and personnel with backpack sprayers to make aquatic herbicide applications. Acknowledging the scale, location, and frequency of potential application work, VME's techniques are the least intrusive possible.

Please refer to **Table 1** for application methods.

11.3 Applying a Decision Matrix Concept to the Choice of the Most Appropriate Formulation.

As previously stated, a PCA and/or qualified VME staff scouts the area to be treated, makes a positive identification of pest(s) present, checks appropriate aquatic herbicide product label(s) for control efficacy, and then the PCA prepares a written recommendation. The written recommendation includes rates of application, and any warnings or conditions that limit the application.

The PCA may also recommend that an adjuvant be used to enhance the efficacy of the aquatic herbicide.

References

- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), M. Anastassiadou, M. Arena, D. Auteri, A. Brancato, L. Bura, L. Carrasco Cabrera, E. Chaideftou, A. Chiusolo, F. Crivellente, C. De Lentdecker, M. Egsmose, G. Fait, L. Greco, A. Ippolito, F. Istace, S. Jarrah, D. Kardassi, R. Leuschner, A. Lostia, C. Lythgo, O. Magrans, I. Mangas, I. Miron, T. Molnar, L. Padovani, J.M. Parra Morte, R. Pedersen, H. Reich, M. Santos, R. Sharp, C. Szentes, A. Terron, M. Tiramani, B. Vagenende, and L. Villamar-Bouza. 2020. "Updated Peer Review of the Pesticide Risk Assessment of the Active Substance Flumioxazin." EFSA Journal 18(9):6246, 22 pp. https://efsa.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.2903/j.efsa.2020.6246
- McLaren/Hart Environmental Engineering Corporation. 1995. Use of the Registered Aquatic Herbicide Fluridone (Sonar) and the Use of the Registered Aquatic Herbicide Glyphosate (Rodeo and Accord) in the State of New York Final Generic Environmental Impact Statement. (prepared for Dow-Elanco and Monsanto).
- National Environmental Methods Index (NEMI) 2004. Available: http://www.nemi.gov
- RWQCB, Central Valley Region (RWQCB). 2019. The Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) for the California Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Valley Region. The Sacramento River Basin and the San Joaquin River Basin. Fifth Edition. Revised February 2019.
- SWRCB. 2005. The Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries in California.
- SWRCB. 2013. Statewide General National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit for Residual Aquatic Pesticide Discharges to Waters of the United States from Algae and Aquatic Weed Control Applications, Water Quality Order No. 2013-0002-DWQ. Available:

 http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/npdes/docs/aquatic/weedcontrol/wp2013_002dwq.pdf
- USEPA. 1980. Guidelines and Specifications for Preparing Quality Assurance Project Plans.
- USEPA. 1992. NPDES Storm Water Sampling Guidance Document.
- USEPA. 1993-A. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED) Glyphosate; EPA 738-R-93-014. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Office of Pesticide Programs.
- USEPA. 1993-B. R.E.D. Facts Peroxy Compounds; EPA-738-F-93-026. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Office of Pesticide Programs.
- USEPA. 1994. USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review.
- USEPA. 1995. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED) Diquat Dibromide; EPA 728-R-95-016. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Office of Pesticide Programs.

- USEPA. 1998. Registration Eligibility Decision (RED) Triclopyr; EPA-738-F-98-007. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Office of Pesticide Programs.
- USEPA. 1999. USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review.
- USEPA. 2002. Biopesticides Registration Action Document Sodium Carbonate Peroxyhydrate. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Pesticide Programs, Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division.
- USEPA. 2004. Report for the Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA) Tolerance Reassessment Progress and Risk Management Decision (TRED) for Fluridone. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Office of Pesticide Programs.
- USEPA. 2005-A. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED) 2,4-D; EPA 738-R-05-002. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Office of Pesticide Programs.
- USEPA. 2005-B. Reregistration Eligibility Decision Endothall; EPA 738-R-05-008. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Office of Pesticide Programs.
- USEPA. 2006. Reregistration Eligibility Decision Imazapyr. EPA 738-R-06-007. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Office of Pesticide Programs.
- USEPA. 2007. Ecological Risk Assessment: Section 3, New Uses on Turn and for Control of Aquatic Vegetation in Aquatic Environments, Penoxsulam. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Environmental Fate and Effects Division.
- USEPA. 2008. Memorandum to James Tompkins, Risk Manager, Herbicide Branch, Registration Division: Ecological risk assessment evaluating Imazamox (PC 129171) for the proposed new use for the control of vegetation in and around aquatic and noncropland sites. From Ibrahim Abdel-Saheb and Michael Davy, Environmental Risk Branch II, Environmental Fate and Effects Division. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Prevention, Pesticides, and Toxic Substances.
- USGS. 1995. U.S. Geological Survey, National Field Manual for the Collection of Water Quality Data.